

Original Article**Risk Factors And Pattern Of Mandibular Condylar Fractures In Patients Presenting At Ayub Teaching Hospital Abbottabad**Naveed Khan¹, Fouzia Tabassum¹, Muhammad israr²¹Department of Dentistry Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad, KP, Pakistan²Department of Biochemistry Bacha Khan Medical College, Mardan, KP, Pakistan**ABSTRACT****Objective:** To detect condylar fracture risk factors and patterns in Ayub Teaching Hospital Abbottabad patients.**Study design :** cross-sectional descriptive study**Duration and place of study :** department of the Ayub Teaching Hospital in Abbottabad between March 26 and September 26, 2014**Materials and Methods:** This cross-sectional descriptive research was conducted at the dentistry department of the Ayub Teaching Hospital in Abbottabad between March 26 and September 26, 2014. It was conducted in the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgical Unit. Ninety patients in all who fulfilled the requirements for inclusion were counted. Following a comprehensive history, clinical examination, and radiographic examinations, a diagnosis was made for each patient. SPSS 16.0 was used to analyze the data.**Results:** This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted at the dentistry department of the Ayub Teaching Hospital in Abbottabad between March 26 and September 26, 2014. It was conducted in the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgical Unit. Ninety patients in all who fulfilled the requirements for inclusion were counted. Following a comprehensive history, clinical examination, and radiographic examinations, a diagnosis was made for each patient. SPSS 16.0 was used to analyze the data.**Conclusion:** The majority of the fractures were undisplaced and subcondylar. The most prevalent risk factor is RTA. In the second decade of life, condylar fractures were more common in men than women.**Keywords:** Mandibular condylar fractures, road traffic accident, mandibular fractures, temporal temporomandibular joint**INTRODUCTION**

The mandible is made up of two rami and a body.

The rami are arranged vertically With anterior coronoid and posterior condyloid processes. Of all mandibular fractures, condylar fractures account for 24.2% of cases.¹ Patients with condylar fractures are

Correspondence: Naveed Khan

Department of Dentistry Ayub Medical College & Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad, Pakistan

Email: drnaveedkhan81@yahoo.com

Cell: 0301-8393749

Date Received: April-12-2021

Date Accepted: May-24-2021

Citations : Naveed Khan, Fouzia Tabassum, & Muhammad israr. (2021). RISK FACTORS AND PATTERN OF MANDIBULAR CONDYLAR FRACTURES IN PATIENTS PRESENTING AT AYUB TEACHING HOSPITAL ABBOTTABAD: Original Article. Journal of Bacha Khan Medical College, 2(02), Page No. 91–95. <https://doi.org/10.69830/jbkmc.v2i02.35>

often young, with an average age of 13². Females get subcondylar fractures in maxillofacial trauma more often than men³. About three times as many unilateral fractures occur as bilateral fractures. The ratio of condylar fractures in children to adults is 5:3⁴. There is a suggestion that low condylar fractures, or fractures that extend into the ramus, account for a very tiny percentage of condyle fractures in young children, with the majority being intra-capsular and high neck fractures. The mandible is the most often fractured bone in children who need hospitalization and surgical intervention; over 80% of mandibular fractures occur at the angle, condyle, and subcondylar area. The majority of fractures are subcondylar, unilateral, and displaced. The majority of condylar fractures coexist with mandibular body fractures.⁵ Direct or indirect impact might result in condylar fractures.⁶ Road traffic accidents are the most frequent cause of condylar fractures (RTA)⁷. Fall, trauma from sports, intimate partner violence (IPV), firearm injury (FAI), and industrial trauma are additional risk factors. The likelihood of mandibular condylar fractures decreases when mandibular third teeth are impacted.⁸ All age groups are at risk for

temporomandibular dysfunction (TMD) due to losing the third molar. Mandibular condylar fracture risk factors differ amongst nations based on dominant environmental, social, and cultural variables. Condylar fractures are linked to significant morbidity of malocclusion and temporomandibular joint dysfunction, particularly in cases of intra-capsular fractures, restricted mouth opening, slowness, and altered chewing cycle patterns.

This study will help us understand the pattern and identify the risk factors of condylar fractures. It may also offer evidence supporting recommendations for potential preventive measures, such as laws requiring seat belts, prohibiting driving after intoxication, increasing public awareness of traffic laws, and requiring protective gear when playing sports or operating a vehicle. It will assist in lowering the frequency of condylar fractures brought on by various risk factors.

RESULTS

The Oral and Maxillofacial Surgical Unit, Dentistry Department, Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad, was the site of this investigation. Ninety-nine individuals who satisfied the inclusion criteria had their mandibular condylar fractures assessed.

The research found that road traffic accidents (RTAs) accounted for 43 47.8% of the condylar fracture risk factors. Fall, 26 (28.9%), fight, 9 (10%), and sports, 7, or 7.8%, were the next most prevalent risk factors. Of the forty-three RTA cases, sixteen were female, and 27 were male. Twelve of the 26 autumn cases were in men and fourteen in females. Six of the seven incidents involving sports were male, and one included a female; all nine cases involving fights were men. Table No. 1 shows 32 females and 58 men (64.4%). The ratio of men to women was 1.8:1. (Table #2)

In this survey, the second decade of life (34.4%) was the most prevalent age group. This was followed by the third decade of life (22.2%), the first decade (15.7%), and the fourth decade (12.3%). Nine instances were female, and six were male in the first ten years of life. Twelve women and nineteen men made up the second decade. Nine cases were of men and three of females in the fourth decade, compared to fifteen cases of males and five of females in the third. (Table Third)

Subcondylar 39 (43.3%) was the most frequent fracture level, followed by condylar head 33 (36.7%) and condylar neck 18 (20%). (Table #4)

There were 38 misplaced fractures (42.2%) and 52 non-displaced fractures (57.8%). (Table Number 5)

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The following method of data collection was used. First, each patient gave their informed permission. The patient's age and gender were recorded. Both the grievances that were presented and an appropriate history were elicited. The history of the presenting symptoms includes details on the accident's cause and timing, any history of ear bleeding, and any other pertinent information, such as vomiting or losing consciousness at the injury scene. A thorough medical and mental health history was taken to treat mandibular fractures in the future. To avoid misdiagnosis, a history of prior mandibular trauma was recorded. Any temporomandibular joint abnormalities before the trauma were inquired about. It was observed where, how much, and which way the traumatic force came from. A clinical examination was then carried out. Before the inspection, the patient's face was carefully cleansed with warm water or swabs to remove any caked-on blood, road grime, etc. Any mandibular swelling, chin lacerations, facial asymmetry, ecchymosis, and external acoustic meatus hemorrhage were seen during the extraoral examination.

To detect any soreness, aberrant movement, or step deformity, palpations were performed first in the condylar area and then moved downhill and down the bottom border of the jaw. The external acoustic meatus was punctured with fingers to assess condylar motions. It was recorded whether there was any intraoral echymosis, disrupted occlusion, anterior open bite, soft tissue bleeding, degree of mouth opening, etc. A dental mirror was used to aid percussion teeth.

Following this, a radiographic test was carried out to verify the diagnosis and determine the displacement

Table 2: Gender Distribution of Condylar Fractures (n=90)

DISPLACEMENT	NUMBER OF CASES	PERCENTAGE
Undisplaced	52	57.8
Displaced	38	42.2
Total	90	100.0

GENDER	NUMBER OF CASES	PERCENTAGE
Male	58	64.4
Female	32	35.6
Total	90	100.0

Table 3: Age Distribution of Condylar Fractures (n=90)

AGE IN GROUPS	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
0-10 Years	15	16.7
11-20 Years	31	34.4
21-30 Years	20	22.2
31-40 Years	12	13.3
41-50 Years	6	6.7
51-60 Years	3	3.3
61-70 Years	2	2.2
71-80 Years	1	1.1
Total	90	100.0

Table 4: Site Distribution of Condylar Fractures (n=90)

FRACTURE LEVEL	NUMBER OF CASES	PERCENTAGE
Sub Condylar	39	43.3
Condylar head	33	36.7
Condylar Neck	18	20.0
Total	90	100.0

and level of the fracture. A panoramic radiograph proved to be the most useful modality for mandibular and condylar fractures. Additional radiographs included the reverse Towne view, mandibular occlusal view, posterior-anterior (PA) mandibular

view, and lateral oblique view. A CT scan was done if the condylar head was difficult to see. Periapical radiographs of the teeth on each side of a fracture line were taken to check for root fractures.

The pattern and risk variables arranged the outcomes. A proforma that was specifically created was then filled out using the data.

Table 5: Distribution of Displacement of Condylar Fractures (n=90)

RISK FACTORS	NUMBER OF CASES	PERCENTAGE
RTA	43	47.8
Fall	26	28.9
Fight	9	10.0
Sports	7	7.8
Industrial trauma	1	1.1
Others	4	4.4
Total	90	100.0

DISCUSSION

Numerous events may result in face trauma, such as car crashes, assaults, fire-related arm injuries, obstetric trauma, falls, and contact sports ⁹. In this research, the most prevalent risk factor was RTA, 43 (47.8% n=90). My results are in line with earlier national and international research projects conducted by Nwoku AL et al., and Zakia MA et al. ¹¹ The research above indicates that RTA remains the most prevalent risk factor in undeveloped and underdeveloped nations; however, this is not the case with studies conducted by James RB ¹², who said that interpersonal violence (IPV) is now the primary cause of mandibular fractures. According to this study, falls were the second most frequent risk factor (n=90; 28.9%), which aligns with research conducted in Australia and Pakistan by Shahim FN ¹³. However, this research contradicts that of Abbas I et al. (2014), who found that falls are the primary cause of condylar fractures in Pakistan. The majority of children fell from beds, staircases, and their parents' hands as a result of parental negligence and insufficient safety measures in construction plans. The second reason might be the growing prevalence of off-road vehicles and multispeed bicycles in the hands of unskilled or unprotected kids. The research found that IPV was a rare cause, partly due to the calm nature of the Abbottabad community and the Islamic prohibition on alcohol usage. According to this research, home conflicts were linked to attacks. My research aligns with a study conducted in Libya (2015), which found that since Libya lacks bars, pubs, and

nightclubs, fights and attacks seem less prevalent there. Sports-related fractures accounted for 7.8% (n=90) of all common causes in our study, which aligns with earlier research conducted by Ellis E. et al. in Pakistan¹⁶ and India¹⁷. Six of the seven sports instances I studied were males, and one included a girl. This illustrates the societal and cultural constraints as well as the male-dominated culture in which women are expected to remain at home and are seldom seen with these kinds of injuries. In comparison, the research conducted in Bahrain¹⁸ found that 12.3% of condylar fractures (n=325) were associated with sports. Firearm injury (FAI), which accounts for 4.4% of risk variables, was another. This contrasts with the 16.6% found in the other research conducted in Pakistan¹⁹. The low incidence of FAI in my research is because there are fewer guns in this region than in other areas of the province where having guns is ingrained in people's culture. According to my study's male-to-female ratio of 1.8:1, men are more likely than women in this region of the globe to suffer from fractured condyles. This discovery aligns with the findings of other research projects carried out in Pakistan²⁰. and Australia²¹. This research contrasts with those conducted at Chaim Sheba Medical Centre³, where females get condylar fractures more often than men. According to my study's male-to-female ratio (1.8:1), women currently participate in outdoor activities at a rate almost equal to that of men. This study's primary age group was between 11 and 20 years old, which aligns with previous research conducted in Pakistan¹⁶. This research, however, differs from others conducted in the United States, Turkey²², and Bahrain¹⁸, where the majority of participants were between the ages of 21 and 30. The age group from 21 to 30 was the second most prevalent in my survey. Patients above the age of sixty had a low prevalence of 2.2%, consistent with findings from earlier studies conducted in Saudi Arabia and Pakistan¹⁹.²³ Since the second and third decades of life are the most active, there may be a connection between the increased prevalence of fractures in the age groups of 11–20 and 21–30 years and the susceptibility of individuals in these decades to trauma. Sports, fighting, aggressive activities, industries, and high-speed transit are increasingly prevalent among these age groups. In this research, subcondylar fractures (39; 43.3%) were the most common, followed by condylar head fractures (33) (36.7%) and condylar neck fractures (18) (20%). The subcondylar region was identified as the most often fractured location of the mandible by Silvenoinen U et al.²⁵, which is in line with the findings of the Korea²⁴ research. Fifty-two fractures (57.8%) and 38 fractures (42.2%) were displaced in this research. These results conflict with Yamaoka M. et al.²⁶ One observation made in this research when evaluating the displacement of condylar fractures was the number of dislocation cases that seemed to be displaced on a plain radiograph and were subsequently verified by a CT scan. My research aligns with that of Sawazaki R et al.²⁷, who reported that 249 317 condylar fractures were non-displaced. According to this

research, June and July were the busiest months for condylar fracture incidence. The region has nice weather in June and July, encouraging outdoor activities like picnics and trips. Consequently, there is an increase in the prevalence of condylar fractures.

CONCLUSION

The majority of the fractures were undisplaced and subcondylar. The most prevalent risk factor is RTA. In the second decade of life, condylar fractures were more common in men than women. The pattern and risk factors of condylar fractures are indicative of trauma patterns in the population. As such, they may serve as a guide for developing preventative and treatment programs.

REFERENCES

1. Shah A, Shah AA, Salam A. Pattern and management of mandibular fractures: a study conducted on 264 patients. *Pak Oral Dental J* 2007 ;27:103-6.
2. Qudah MA, AL Khateeb T, Bataineh AB, Rawashdeh MA. Mandibular fractures in Jordanians: a comparative study between young and adult patients. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2005;33:103-6.
3. Yoffe T, Shohat I, Shoshani Y, Taicher S. Etiology of maxillofacial trauma – a 10-year survey at the Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel – Hashomer. *Harefuah* 2008;147:192-96.
4. Kalia V, Singh AP. Greenstick fracture of the mandible: A case report. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2008;26:32-5.
5. Akhtar MU, Rafique FCM, Shah AA, Akhtar N. The prevalent age group, cause, and site of pediatric facial bone trauma at two tertiary units in Pakistan. *Ann King Edward Med Coll* 2006;12:145-52.
6. Malik NA. Fractures of the condylar process and its Management; In *Textbook of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2nd ed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd, 2008:403–13
7. Zachariades N, Mezitis M, Mourouzis C, Papadakis D, Spanou A. Fractures of the mandibular condyle: a review of 466 cases. Literature review, reflections on treatment, and proposals. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2006 ; 34 :421-32 .
8. Duan DH, Zhang Y. Does the presence of mandibular third molars increase the risk of angle fracture and decrease the risk of condylar fracture? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008 ;37:25-8.

9. Khan SU, Khan M, Khan AA. Etiology and Pattern of Maxillofacial Injuries in the Armed Forces of Pakistan. *J Coll Physian Surg Pak* 2007;17:94-7.
10. Zakia MA, Islam T, Memon S, Aleem A. The pattern of maxillo-facial injuries received at Abbasi Shaheed Hospital, KMDC, Karachi. *Ann Abbasi Shaheed Hosp Karachi Med Dent Coll* 2002; 7: 291-3.
11. Shah A, Shah AA, Salam A. Maxillofacial fractures: analysis of demographic distribution in 320 patients. *Pakistan Oral & Dent Jr* 2006; 26: 235-38.
12. James RB, Fredrickson C, Kent JN. A prospective study of mandibular fractures. *J Oral Surg* 1981;39:275-81.
13. Shahim FN, Cameron P, Mcneil JJ. Maxillofacial trauma in major trauma patients. *Australian Dental Journal* 2006;51:225-30.
14. Abbas I, Jamil M, Jehanzeb M, Ghaus SM. Temporomandibular joint ankylosis: experience with interpositional gap arthroplasty at Ayub Medical College Abbottabad. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2005;17:67-69.
15. Elgehani RA, Orafi MI. Incidence of mandibular fractures in Eastern part of Libya. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2009;14:529-32.
16. Qiamuddin. Analysis of 362 cases of maxillofacial injuries in the Northern region of Pakistan. *Pak Oral Dent J* 1991; 11:35-43.
17. Sawhney CP, Ahuja RB. Faciomaxillary fractures in North India: a statistical analysis and review of management. *British Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery* 1988; 26: 430 –34
18. Dhaif G, Magra A, Yaseer A, Ramaraj R, Sammak NA. Mandibular fractures in Bahrain - a 10-year study. *Bahrain Med Bull* 1996;18.
19. Khan A, Salam A, Khitab U, Khan MT. Pattern of mandibular fractures — a study *Pak Oral Dental J* 2009;29:221-24.
20. Ansari SR, Khitab U, Qayyum Z, Khattak A. Retrospective analysis of 268 cases of mandible fractures. *Pak Oral Dent J* 2004; 24:135-8.
21. Edward TJ, David DJ, Simpson DA, Abbott AA. Pattern of mandibular fractures in Adelaide, South Australia. *Aust New Z J of Surg* 1994; 64: 307-11.
22. Simsek S, Simsek B, Abubaker AO, Laskin DM. A comparative study of mandibular fractures in the United States and Turkey. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2007;36:395-7.
23. Nwoku AL, Oluyadi BA. Retrospective analysis of 1206 maxillofacial fractures an Urban Saudi Hospital: 8 years review. *Pakistan Oral & Dent Jr* 2004; 24: 13 – 16.
24. Kim YH, Choi JW. Open Reduction of Mandibular Condyle Fracture with Modified Ellis Technique. *J Korean Cleft Palate Craniofac Assoc* 2005;6:61-68.
25. Silvennoinen U, Iizuka T, Lindqvist C, Oikarinen K. Different patterns of condylar fractures: an analysis of 382 patients in 3 years. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1992; 50:1032-37.
26. Yamaoka M, Furusawa K, Iguchi K, Tanaka M, Okuda D. The assessment of the mandibular condyle's fracture using computerized tomography. Incidence of sagittal split fracture. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 1994;32:77-79.
27. Sawazaki R, Junior SM, Asprino L, Moreira RW, Moraes MD. Incidence and patterns of mandibular condyle fractures. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009

Disclaimer: Nil

Conflict of Interest: There is no conflict of interest.

Funding Disclosure: Nil

Authors Contribution

Concept & Design of Study: Naveed Khan1

Data Analysis: , Fouzia Tabassum1

Critical Review: Muhammad israr2

Final Approval of version: Naveed Khan1



Open Access: This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. © The Author(s) 2021